

UK Parliament Education Committee inquiry response:
The impact of COVID-19 on education and children's services

Dr Cathryn Knight¹, Prof Tom Crick¹, Prof Richard Watermeyer² and Dr Janet Goodall¹

¹School of Education, Swansea University

²School of Education, University of Bristol

Contact: Cathryn.Knight@swansea.ac.uk and Thomas.Crick@swansea.ac.uk

Introduction

Following the rapid closure of education institutions in March 2020 in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, our online anonymous survey investigating the impact of “emergency remote teaching” on education practitioners was distributed internationally via a range of professional networks.

The survey received 2,745 responses after four weeks, consisting of a mixture of quantitative and qualitative data on perceptions of online learning, teaching and assessment.

2,064 responses were gathered from the education sector in the UK (1,397 from England; 488 from Wales; 141 from Scotland; 38 from Northern Ireland); the evidence presented here will primarily be from the English data, but we will make some high-level comparisons to the other UK nations..

Of the respondents based in England, 907 were from higher education (HE), 159 from further education (FE) and 264 from schools; the remainder were from across the wider education profession, including adult education.

The following summary presents the key significant results from our survey for these three sectors in England.

Higher Education

- In general, across the UK's HE sector there were concerns about the impact of the move to online learning, teaching and assessment for both staff and their students.
- Concerns centred around the impact of the changes on the sector as a whole, including student recruitment (especially international students) and market sustainability (and thus financial resilience of institutions), the fragility of the HE academic labour market, and impact on local/regional economies.
- Furthermore, concerns were raised about the disturbance to personal life (especially for practitioners with caring responsibilities), health and wellbeing, and workloads. Respondents anticipated a large increase to workload in both the shorter and longer terms.
- However, more positive messages were also recorded about the opportunity for positive change stimulated by the crisis.
- Within the English HE sector ($n=907$):
 - 48% agreed that they felt prepared for the move to online learning, teaching and assessment.

- 50% agreed that their students are prepared to engage in online learning, teaching and assessment.
- 60% agreed that they felt confident in their ability to facilitate online learning, teaching and assessment.
- 70% agreed that their institution has been supportive in facilitating the move to online learning, teaching and assessment.
- 58% agreed that they have a good working knowledge of the technologies available to support learning, teaching as assessment online.
- 80% agreed that could access appropriate technologies to support online learning, teaching and assessment.
- 79% agreed that they are aware of a range of appropriate pedagogical approaches to supporting learning and teaching online.
- 40% agreed that they were confident that all of their students would be able to access the teaching and assessment that they would make available online. 62% said that have an appropriate home working environment to support learning, teaching and assessment online.

Schools

- School practitioners held significantly more positive attitudes towards the move to online learning, teaching and assessment when compared to those in HE.
- However, school staff raised greater concerns about issues of access and equity for students. While most (86%) of school staff in England reported that they had access to the tools and technology needed to support online teaching and learning, only 26% of teachers in England agreed that they were *'confident that all of [their] students will be able to access the teaching and assessment that [they] make available online'*.
- School staff also raised concerns about the quality of online teaching, however, they also showed awareness of the positive impact this may have on their teaching and pedagogical practices in the future.
- Unlike HE, school staff perceived that the greatest increase to workload would be in the longer term when students eventually return to schools.
- Within the English school sector ($n=264$):
 - 70% agreed that they felt prepared for the move to online learning, teaching and assessment.
 - 53% agreed that their students are prepared to engage in online learning, teaching and assessment.
 - 76% agreed that they felt confident in their ability to facilitate online learning, teaching and assessment.
 - 86% agreed that their institution has been supportive in facilitating the move to online learning, teaching and assessment.
 - 75% agreed that they have a good working knowledge of the technologies available to support learning, teaching as assessment online.
 - 86% agreed that could access appropriate technologies to support online learning, teaching and assessment.
 - 58% agreed that they are aware of a range of appropriate pedagogical approaches to supporting learning and teaching online.










- 26% agreed that they were confident that all of their students would be able to access the teaching and assessment that they would make available online.
- 62.9% said that have an appropriate home working environment to support learning, teaching and assessment online.

Further Education

- Practitioners in FE also expressed concerns about the access that their students would have to the teaching they made available online.
- Concerns were specifically raised about how practical and vocational subjects could be taught online.
- Staff in the FE sector consistently raised concerns that the temporary measures may become normalised, with a view to reducing costs in the future (including job losses).
- FE staff also anticipated an increase to workload in the longer term.
- Within the English FE sector (*n=159*):
 - 52% agreed that they felt prepared for the move to online learning, teaching and assessment.
 - 46% agreed that their students are prepared to engage in online learning, teaching and assessment.
 - 58% agreed that they felt confident in their ability to facilitate online learning, teaching and assessment.
 - 75% agreed that their institution has been supportive in facilitating the move to online learning, teaching and assessment.
 - 53% agreed that they have a good working knowledge of the technologies available to support learning, teaching as assessment online.
 - 76% agreed that could access appropriate technologies to support online learning, teaching and assessment.
 - 50% agreed that they are aware of a range of appropriate pedagogical approaches to supporting learning and teaching online.
 - 25% agreed that they were confident that all of their students would be able to access the teaching and assessment that they would make available online.
 - 51.3% said that have an appropriate home working environment to support learning, teaching and assessment online.

Comparisons with other UK nations

As the data was collected via opportunity sampling, it is difficult to draw conclusive country comparisons. However, the graphs below demonstrate that on a number of measures respondents from Wales were significantly more positive about the move to online learning, teaching and assessment than respondents in England. It is not explicitly clear from the survey data why this should be the case, but there were a number of responses that mentioned the proposed major national curriculum and qualifications reforms to take place in Wales from September 2022 onwards, with significant investment in professional learning, and the system as a whole. (The low level of responses from Northern Ireland meant that they were excluded from country comparison analysis).



Bars with + had a z score of + 1.96 meaning that this category were significantly more likely than expected to agree with the statement, bars with - had a z score of - 1.96 meaning that this category was significantly less likely than expected to agree with the statement.

Conclusions

The overall picture could be viewed as more negative in England than in other parts of the UK. Practitioners across both the compulsory and post-compulsory sectors have raised a number of significant issues – both in the short term and in the longer-term -- arising from the pandemic:

- Practitioners across all sectors have raised concerns about equity of access and the ability of some learners to meaningfully engage and progress with online learning in both the short and longer-term. While a large majority of practitioners reported that they themselves had the necessary access, they were significantly less confident that their students would be able to access the learning teaching and assessment made available online.
- Practitioners in all sectors are concerned about workload issues, both in this immediate period, as well as moving in the next academic year and beyond.
- Practitioners in FE and HE in particular are concerned about possible changes to roles and responsibilities, contracts, an increase in precarity across the education profession, the impact on research and innovation activities (for example, with the delays to the REF 2021 exercise), and potential job losses (especially with the potential impact of the introduction of temporary student number controls for institutions in England for the 2020/2021 academic year, as proposed by the Department of Education in June 2020¹).

Being mindful of the rapid response for this inquiry, as well as the immediate needs of the Education Committee, we have only presented a high-level summary of some of the key quantitative results from the survey, which are still being analysed and disseminated; related publications and outputs are presented below. We would be happy to further support the work of the Committee going forward, as we continue to analyse and disseminate outcomes from our international survey.

Related reading

Watermeyer, R., Crick, T., Knight, C., and Goodall, J. (2020) COVID-19 and digital disruption in UK universities: afflictions and affordances of emergency online migration. *Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-020-00561-y>

Watermeyer, R., Crick, T., Knight, C., and Goodall, J. (2020) Academic lives are in transition. *Wonkhe* (4 May). <https://wonkhe.com/blogs/academic-lives-are-in-transition/>

Watermeyer, R., Crick, T., Knight, C., and Goodall, J. (2020) Forced shift to online teaching in coronavirus pandemic unleashes educators' deepest job fears. *Nature Index* (9 April). <https://www.natureindex.com/news-blog/forced-shift-to-online-teaching-in-coronavirus-pandemic-unleashes-educators-deepest-job-fears->

¹https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/890212/Introduction_of_temporary_student_number_controls.pdf